	Case 1:22-cv-00162-DAD-BAM Docum	ent 8 Filed 03/17/22 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JOSEPH LOPES,	No. 1:22-cv-00162-DAD-BAM (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
14	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF	RECOMMENDATIONS AND DENYING MOTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA
15	CORRECTIONS, et al.,  Defendants.	PAUPERIS  (Dec. Nos. 2, 6)
16	Defendants.	(Doc. Nos. 2, 6)
17		
18	Plaintiff Joseph Lopes is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights action	
19	brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate	
20	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
21	On February 8, 2022, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations	
22	recommending that plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis (Doc. No. 2) be denied	
23	because it was determined that plaintiff had sufficient funds in his trust account to pay the	
24	required filing fee in full. (Doc. No. 6.) Those pending findings and recommendations were	
25	served on plaintiff and contained notice that any objections thereto were to be filed within	
26	fourteen (14) days after service. (Id. at 2.) To date, no objections to the pending findings and	
27	recommendations have been filed, and the time in which to do so has now passed.	
28		
		1

## 1 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a 2 de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court finds the findings 3 and recommendations to be supported by the record and proper analysis. 4 Accordingly, 1. 5 The findings and recommendations issued on February 8, 2022 (Doc. No. 6) are 6 adopted in full; 7 2. Plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis (Doc. No. 2) is denied; 8 3. Within thirty (30) days from the date of service of this order, plaintiff is required 9 to pay the \$402.00 filing fee for this action in full; 10 4. Plaintiff's failure to pay the required filing fee as ordered will result in the 11 dismissal of this action without prejudice; and 12 5. This case is referred back to the assigned magistrate judge for further proceedings. 13 IT IS SO ORDERED. 14 March 17, 2022 Dated: 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

Case 1:22-cv-00162-DAD-BAM Document 8 Filed 03/17/22 Page 2 of 2

28